

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION

In re

CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,

Debtor.

No. 13-53846

Chapter 9

HON. STEVEN W. RHODES

**ATTACHMENT**

**APPELLANT STATE OF MICHIGAN'S DESIGNATION OF  
ITEMS TO BE INCLUDED IN THE RECORD ON APPEAL**

<b>Item</b>	<b>Date Filed</b>	<b>Docket #</b>	<b>Description</b>
4.	10/7/2013	1108	Debtor's Objection to Catherine Phillips, et al.'s Motion for Relief from Order Pursuant to Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code Extending the Chapter 9 Stay to Certain (A) State Entities, (B) Non Officer Employees and (C) Agents and Representatives of the Debtor

**IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN  
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

	X	
	:	
In re	:	Chapter 9
	:	
CITY OF DETROIT, MICHIGAN,	:	Case No. 13-53846
	:	
Debtor.	:	Hon. Steven W. Rhodes
	X	

**DEBTOR’S OBJECTION TO CATHERINE PHILLIPS, et al.’s MOTION  
FOR RELIEF FROM ORDER PURSUANT TO SECTION 105(a) OF THE  
BANKRUPTCY CODE EXTENDING THE CHAPTER 9 STAY TO  
CERTAIN (A) STATE ENTITIES, (B) NON OFFICER EMPLOYEES AND  
(C) AGENTS AND REPRESENTATIVES OF THE DEBTOR**

The City of Detroit (the “City”) objects to Catherine Phillips, et al.’s (collectively, the “Plaintiffs”) Motion for Relief from the Order Pursuant to Section 105(a) of the Bankruptcy Code Extending the Chapter 9 Stay to Certain (A) State Entities, (B) Non Officer Employees and (C) Agents and Representatives of the Debtor [Dkt. No. 1004] (the “Stay Relief Motion”) and supporting brief (the “Stay Relief Brief”). In support of this Objection, the City incorporates in their entirety the arguments set forth in the Brief in Opposition to the Stay Relief Motion, filed contemporaneously herewith (the “Brief in Opposition”) and respectfully represents as follows:



## Objection

For all of the reasons set forth in the Brief in Opposition, the relief requested in the Stay Relief Motion must be denied.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth herein and in the Brief in Opposition, the City respectfully requests that this Court: (a) deny the Stay Relief Motion; and (b) grant such other and further relief to the City as the Court may deem proper.

Dated: October 7, 2013

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/Stephen S. LaPlante  
Jonathan S. Green (P33140)  
Stephen S. LaPlante (P48063)  
Timothy A. Fusco (P13768)  
MILLER, CANFIELD, PADDOCK AND  
STONE, P.L.C.  
150 West Jefferson  
Suite 2500  
Detroit, Michigan 48226  
Telephone: (313) 963-6420  
Facsimile: (313) 496-7500  
[green@millercanfield.com](mailto:green@millercanfield.com)  
[laplante@millercanfield.com](mailto:laplante@millercanfield.com)  
[fusco@millercanfield.com](mailto:fusco@millercanfield.com)

David G. Heiman (OH 0038271)  
Heather Lennox (OH 0059649)  
JONES DAY  
North Point  
901 Lakeside Avenue  
Cleveland, Ohio 44114  
Telephone: (216) 586-3939

Facsimile: (216) 579-0212  
[dgheiman@jonesday.com](mailto:dgheiman@jonesday.com)  
[hlennox@jonesday.com](mailto:hlennox@jonesday.com)

Bruce Bennett (CA 105430)  
JONES DAY  
555 South Flower Street Fiftieth Floor  
Los Angeles, California 90071  
Telephone: (213) 243-2382  
Facsimile: (213) 243-2539  
[bbennett@jonesday.com](mailto:bbennett@jonesday.com)

ATTORNEYS FOR THE CITY OF DETROIT